

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/759,737	Applicant(s) SNOVER ET AL.	
	Examiner LI B. ZHEN	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) LI B. ZHEN.

(2) Kasey Christie (Registration No. 40,559).

Date of Interview: 21 April 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 19, 26 and 33.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Li B. Zhen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that claim 1 is allowed over the prior art. Claim 19 would be allowable if amended to include the features regarding negotiating the known list of objects as recited in claim 1. Claim 26 would be allowable if amended to include all the features of dependent claim 33. Mr. Christie authorized the amendments detailed in the examiner's amendment.